# Athletic Field Evaluation Form High/Middle School Upper Left

Date of Evaluation	4/12/2019							
Type of Field:	Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR) - Soccer							
Number of Fields:	One (1)							
Typical age of users:				-				
MPR Field Dimensions:	Length:	Wid			n-Out			
	180'	13	5'	1	.0+'			
	N/A	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent			
	N/A	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Geometry	N/A							
Stand of Turf			х					
Planarity (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)		x						
Striping (Completeness, visibility, condition)	N/A							
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, gates, etc.)	N/A							
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)			х					
Safety (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).		x						
Support Equipment (goals, players benches, etc.)			х					
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)	N/A							
Site Lighting	N/A							
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)	N/A							
Drainage	N/A							
Average Score = 1.6			4	4	1			

#### Comments

1. No paved walkways into field, ADA non-compliant.

2. Runout to the south obstructed by storage shed and two wooded posts within 20'.

3. Outdated scoreboard.

Outdated on-site storage

# Athletic Field Evaluation Form High/Middle School Upper Left

Date of Evaluation	4/12/2019				
Type of Field:	Softball				
Number of Fields:	One (1)				
Typical age of users:		-			
Baseball/Softball Dimensions:	1st & 3rd Base	L Field	R Field	C Field	Backstop
	60'	N/A	N/A	N/A	25'
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
	N/A	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Geometry / Solar Orientation				х	
Stand of Turf			х		
Infield Condition (Infield material, base paths, etc.)				х	
Planarity (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)			х		
Striping (Completeness, visibility, condition)	N/A				
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, backstops, outfield fencing, etc.)			х		
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)			х		
Safety (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).				Х	
Support Equipment (bases, dugouts, batting cages, players benches, etc.)	5	Х			
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)	N/A				
Site Lighting	N/A				
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)		Х			
Drainage	N/A				1
Average Score = 2.1	1	1		1	<u>I</u>

#### Comments

1. No paved access to field, ADA non-compliant.

2. Infield material notably dense, suggesting poor drainage.

3. Chain link batting cage significantly deformed, interior safety netting loose and torn.

2.1

# Athletic Field Evaluation Form High/Middle School Upper Right

Date of Evaluation	4/12/2019							
Type of Field:	Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR)-Lacrosse/Field Hockey							
Number of Fields:	One (1)							
Typical age of users:								
MPR Field Dimensions:	Length:	Wid	th	Rui	n-Out			
	300'	180	כ'	1	.0+'			
	N/A	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)			
Geometry	N/A							
Stand of Turf			х					
<b>Planarity</b> (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)			х					
Striping (Completeness, visibility, condition)	N/A							
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, gates, etc.)		х						
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)			х					
Safety (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).				х				
Support Equipment (goals, players benches, etc.)		x						
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)	N/A							
Site Lighting	N/A							
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)			х					
Drainage	N/A							
Average Score = 1.9			1					

#### Comments

1. Lacking natural turf in front of goals and in center field from overuse.

2. Both soccer and lacrosse goals showing signs of rust and structural damage.

3. No paved access to field, ADA non-compliant.

4. Perimeter fence to the east severely rusted and bent in some areas.

# Athletic Field Evaluation Form High/Middle School Upper Right

Date of Evaluation	4/12/2019				
Type of Field:	Softball				
Number of Fields:	One (1)				
Typical age of users:					
Baseball/Softball Dimensions:	1st & 3rd Base	L Field	R Field	C Field	Backstop
	60'	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	N/A	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)
Geometry / Solar Orientation			X		
Stand of Turf			х		
Infield Condition (Infield material, base paths, etc.)			х		
Planarity (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)		х			
Striping (Completeness, visibility, condition)	N/A				
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, backstops, outfield fencing, etc.)			х		
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)			х		
Safety (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).				Х	
Support Equipment (bases, dugouts, batting cages, players benches, etc.)		х			
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)	N/A				
Site Lighting	N/A				
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)				х	
Drainage	N/A				
Average Score = 2.0					

#### Comments

1. Paved access to field is significantly sloped, ADA non-compliant.

2. Backstop and fencing around dugouts damaged and rusting in some areas.

3. Infield material overly dense, suggesting poor drainage.

4. Edges of infield becoming overgrown.

2.0

## Athletic Field Evaluation Form High/Middle School Field Turf Baseball

Date of Evaluation	4/12/2019				
Type of Field:	Baseball				
Number of Fields:	One (1)				
Typical age of users:		-			
Baseball/Softball Dimensions:	1st & 3rd Base	L Field	R Field	C Field	Backstop
	60/90'	N/A	N/A	N/A	25'
	N/A	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)
Geometry / Solar Orientation			X		
Stand of Turf					Х
Infield Condition (Infield material, base paths, etc.)					х
<b>Planarity</b> (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)					x
<b>Striping</b> (Completeness, visibility, condition)					x
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, backstops, outfield fencing, etc.)				Х	
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)	N/A				
Safety (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).				Х	
Support Equipment (bases, dugouts, batting cages, players benches, etc.)				х	
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)					Х
Site Lighting				Х	
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)			х		
Drainage	N/A				

Comments

Average Score =

1. Paved entryway into field, ADA compliant. Only two accessible parking spots in lot adjacent to field.

2. Orientation may leave batters affected by late afternoon sun.

3.3

3. Dugouts set back from fence line and well protected.

4. Spectator seating parallel to the first base line is unsafely exposed to foul balls.

5. Both foul poles leave little to no run out.

Field Grade:

3.3

## Athletic Field Evaluation Form High/Middle School Synthetic Turf MPR

Date of Evaluation	4/12/2019							
Type of Field:	Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR)							
Number of Fields:	One (1)							
Typical age of users:				1				
MPR Field Dimensions:	Length:	Wid		Rui	า-Out			
	330/360	180/	160					
	N/A	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)			
Geometry					х			
Stand of Turf					х			
Planarity (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)					х			
Striping (Completeness, visibility, condition)					х			
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, gates, etc.)					х			
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)	N/A							
<b>Safety</b> (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).			х					
Support Equipment (goals, players benches, etc.)				х				
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)					x			
Site Lighting				х				
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)			х					
Drainage	N/A							

#### Comments

1. Paved entryway into field, ADA compliant. Only two accessible parking spots in lot adjacent to field.

2. Run out from both northeast and southest corners is 6' or less to either a fence or retaining wall.

3.4

Field Grade:

# Athletic Field Evaluation Form

1.8

### West Street Athletic Field Complex

Average Score = 1.8				1				
Drainage	N/A							
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)			х					
Site Lighting	N/A							
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)	N/A							
Support Equipment (goals, players benches, etc.)		х						
Safety (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).				х				
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)		х						
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, gates, etc.)	N/A							
Striping (Completeness, visibility, condition)			Х					
<b>Planarity</b> (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)		х						
Stand of Turf		х						
Geometry				Х				
	N/A	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)			
				l				
MPR Field Dimensions:	Length: 270'	Wid 180		Rui	n-Out			
Typical age of users:								
Number of Fields:	One (1)							
Type of Field:	Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR) - Soccer							
Date of Evaluation Type of Field:								

#### Comments

1. No paved walkways provided into field, ADA non-compliant.

2. Spectator seating consists of only two picnic tables.

3. Surface loose and lacking natural turf from heavy use in center field and near both goals.

4. Netting loose or torn on both goals.

5. No on-site storage.

# Athletic Field Evaluation Form

## 1.7

### West Street Athletic Field Complex

4/12/2019							
Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR) - Soccer							
One (1)							
Length:	Wid	th	Rui	n-Out			
170'	110	)'					
	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent			
N/A	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
			х				
	х						
	x						
		х					
N/A							
	x						
			х				
	х						
N/A							
N/A							
N/A							
N/A		1	1	1			
	Multi-Purpose One (1) Length: 170' N/A N/A	Multi-Purpose Rectangular ( One (1) Length: Wid 170' 110 N/A Poor (1) N/A X N/A X N/A X N/A X	Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR) - Soc   One (1)   Length: Width   170' 110'   N/A Poor (1)   N/A 110'   X (2)   N/A X   N/A X	Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR) - Soccer     One (1)     Length:   Width   Run     170'   110'			

#### Comments

1. No paved walkways provided into field, ADA non-compliant.

2. Relatively planar surface, lacking natural turf near both goals.

3. No apparent spectator seating.

4. No on-site storage.

5. Lacking natural turf near both goals.

# **Athletic Field Evaluation Form**

## 1.7

## West Street Athletic Field Complex

Date of Evaluation	4/12/2019							
Type of Field:	Multi-Purpose Rectangular (MPR) - Soccer							
Number of Fields:	One (1)							
Typical age of users:								
MPR Field Dimensions:	Length:	Wid		Rui	n-Out			
	170'	110	כ'					
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent			
	N/A	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Geometry				х				
Stand of Turf		x						
<b>Planarity</b> (playing surface - lack of dips, heaves, holes, etc.)		x						
Striping (Completeness, visibility, condition)			х					
Fencing (Perimeter fencing, gates, etc.)	N/A							
Irrigation (condition, coverage, reported adequacy)		x						
Safety (Run-outs, lack of obstructions, etc.).				х				
Support Equipment (goals, players benches, etc.)		х						
Athletic Lighting (reported adequacy, lack of spill / glare, general condition, etc.)	N/A							
Site Lighting	N/A							
Spectator Seating (condition, size, accessibility, etc.)	N/A							
Drainage	N/A							
Average Score = 1.7		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				

#### Comments

1. No paved walkways into field, ADA non-compliant.

2. Lacking natural turf near both goals.

3. No apparent spectator seating.

4. Both goals are in poor condition.

5. No on-site storage.


### High School / Middle School – Turf MPR Field



Paved access to field, ADA compliant.



Trench drain in concrete transition at primary access entryway.



Minimal run out before fence in north-east corner of field.



Spectator seating at the south-east corner of the field.













#### West Street Athletic Fields – Fields No. 2 & 3



Soccer goal with damaged natural turf on southern side of field no. 2.



Rear side of wooden practice area adjacent to field no. 2.



Damaged goal and lack of natural turf on northern side of field no. 3.



Outdated spectator seating in rear of field no. 3.